## Diehl Sch

Schoolwide Title 1 School Plan | 2024 - 2025

# Profile and Plan Essentials

| School                              |       | AUN/Branch                           |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| Diehl Elementary                    |       | 2003                                 |
| Address 1                           |       |                                      |
| 2327 Fairmont                       |       |                                      |
| Address 2                           |       |                                      |
|                                     |       |                                      |
| City                                | State | Zip Code                             |
| Erie                                | PA    | 16510                                |
| <b>Chief School Administrat</b>     | tor   | Chief School Administrator Email     |
| Brian Polito                        |       | bpolito@eriesd.org                   |
| Principal Name                      |       |                                      |
| Timothy Sabol                       |       |                                      |
| Principal Email                     |       |                                      |
| tsabol@eriesd.org                   |       |                                      |
| <b>Principal Phone Number</b>       |       | Principal Extension                  |
| 8148746585                          |       |                                      |
| School Improvement Facilitator Name |       | School Improvement Facilitator Email |
| Lisa Berlin                         |       | lberlin@eriesd.org                   |

# Steering Committee

| Name               | Position/Role              | Building/Group/Organization | Email                     |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Timothy Sabol      | Principal                  | Erie's Public Schools/Diehl | tsabol@eriesd.org         |
| Mary Kearney       | Principal                  | Erie's Public Schools/Diehl | mkearney@eriesd.org       |
| Karen Donnelly     | Community Member           | United Way                  | kdonnelly@eriesd.org      |
| Tiphanie Mason     | Education Specialist       | Erie's Public Schools/Diehl | tmason@eriesd.org         |
| Becky Powierza     | Teacher                    | Erie's Public Schools/Diehl | bpowierza@eriesd.org      |
| Teresa Szumigala   | District Level Leaders     | Erie's Public Schools       | tszumligala@eriesd.org    |
| Jennifer Preston   | Parent                     | Diehl                       | younglioness417@gmail.com |
| Meghan Marshall    | Teacher                    | Erie's Public Schools/Diehl | mmarshall@eriesd.org      |
| Karin Ryan         | District Level Leaders     | Erie's Public Schools       | kryan@eriesd.org          |
| Scherry Prater     | District Level Leaders     | Erie's Public Schools       | sprater@eriesd.org        |
| Brian Polito       | Chief School Administrator | Erie's Public Schools       | bpolito@eriesd.org        |
| Kelly Kienholz     | Paraprofessional           | Erie's Public Schools/Diehl | kellykienholz@eriesd.org  |
| Amy Rupp           | Teacher                    | Erie's Public Schools/Diehl | arupp@eriesd.org          |
| Katrina Amann-Ross | Teacher                    | Erie's Public Schools/Diehl | kamannross@eriesd.org     |
| Linda Graff        | Board Member               | Erie's Public Schools       | lgraff@eriesd.org         |
|                    |                            |                             |                           |
|                    |                            |                             |                           |
|                    |                            |                             |                           |

# Vision for Learning

## Vision for Learning

Students first, Owning our actions, Always positive, Reaching higher SOAR

## Future Ready PA Index

Select the grade levels served by your school. Select all that apply.

| True K  | True 1  | True 2  | True 3   | True 4   | True 5   | False 6 |
|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| False 7 | False 8 | False 9 | False 10 | False 11 | False 12 |         |

## Review of the School Level Performance

## Strengths

| Indicator   | Comments/Notable Observations   |
|---|---|
| Met growth expectations (PVAAS) in math                       | Meets or exceeds Interim Target and increased performance in math 83.0                  |
| College and Career Readiness                                  | Meets or exceeds Statewide Goal in all reportable student groups - 100%                 |
| Proficient or Advanced on PA State Assessments ELA, math, and | All student group increased performance from the previous year in ELA by 2.2 in math by |
| science   | 3.1   |

## Challenges

| Indicator   | Comments/Notable Observations                  |
|---|--|
| Proficient or Advanced on PA State Assessments ELA            | From 11.2% in 2022 to 13.4% proficient in 2023 |
| Proficient or Advanced on PA State Assessments Math           | From 5.9% in 2022 to 9% proficient in 2023     |
|   |  |
| Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations (PVAAS) - ELA     | Decrease from last year - 64.0                 |
| Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations (PVAAS) - science | Decrease from last year - 50.0                 |
| Regular Attendance  | Decrease from last year - 63.9%                |

# Review of Grade Level(s) and Individual Student Group(s)

### Strengths

| Indicator   |  |
|---|--|
| Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations (PVAAS) ELA | Comments/Notable Observations  |
| and math  | English Learner students met or exceeded the interim goal in ELA - 77.0 and math - 93.0  |
| ESSA Student Subgroups                                  | English Learner students met or exceeded the internit goal in ELA - 77.0 and math - 95.0 |
| English Learners  |  |
| Indicator   |  |
| Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations (PVAAS)     | Comments/Notable Observations  |
| math  | ED students met or exceeded the Statewide Goal in math - 87.0                            |
| ESSA Student Subgroups                                  |  |

| Economically Disadvantaged  |   |
|---|---|
| Indicator Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations (PVAAS) ELA and math ESSA Student Subgroups White | Comments/Notable Observations White students met or exceeded the Interim Goal in ELA - 78.0 and math - 90.0 |
| Indicator   | Comments/Notable Observations   |
| ELA PSSA Grade 3  | There was a 7.7% increase in Grade 3 students being proficient or advanced on PSSA ELA (2022 -              |
| ESSA Student Subgroups  | 6.6 to 2023 - 14.3)   |

#### Challenges

| Indicator Proficient or Advanced on PA State Assessments ELA and math ESSA Student Subgroups African-American/Black | Comments/Notable Observations  Black students not meeting statewide goal or interim target and decreased from previous year - 8.3%                     |
|---|--|
| Indicator Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations (PVAAS) ESSA Student Subgroups African-American/Black         | Comments/Notable Observations  Black students not meeting statewide goal or interim target and decreased from previous year ELA - 61.0 and math - 69.0 |
| Indicator Proficient or Advanced on PA State Assessments ELA - Grade 5 ESSA Student Subgroups                       | Comments/Notable Observations There was a 4.9% decrease in Grade 5 students being proficient or advanced on PSSA ELA (2022 - 20.3 to 2023 - 15.4)      |

#### Summary

### Strengths

Review the strengths listed above and copy and paste 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

Met growth expectations (PVAAS) in math - Meets or exceeds Interim Target and increased performance in math 83.0

College and Career Readiness - Meets or exceeds Statewide Goal in all reportable student groups - 100%

Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations (PVAAS) ELA and math - English Learner students met or exceeded the interim goal

#### Challenges

Review the challenges listed above and copy and paste 2-5 challenges if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Future Ready PA index targets.

Regular Attendance - Decrease from last year - 63.9%

Proficient or Advanced on PA State Assessments ELA - 13.4% proficient

Proficient or Advanced on PA State Assessments Math - 9% proficient

#### **Local Assessment**

### **English Language Arts**

| Data  | Comments/Notable Observations  |
|---|--|
| DIBELS - MOY Composite score K - 32% at or above benchmark 1 - 42% at or above benchmark 2 - 20% at or  | DIBELS data is collected across all grade levels   |
| above benchmark 3 - 27% at or above benchmark 4 - 29% at or above benchmark 5 - 23% at or above   | providing a better view of ELA performance across  |
| benchmark   | the whole school.  |
| CKLA CBAs Quarter 1 and 2 percent proficient Quarter 1 - School wide 53% of students in K-5 scored 70% or higher on two or more CKLA assessments in the first quarter. However, in grades 3-5, 26% scored 70% or higher. Quarter 2 - K - yes 59% and 60% 1 - yes 64% and 80% 2 - yes 88% 3 - no 10% and 10% 4 - no 20% and 45% 5 - no 52% and 43% | CKLA Unit assessment data is collected across all grade levels providing a better view of ELA performance across the whole school. |

#### **English Language Arts Summary**

#### Strengths

DIBELS composite scores showed an increase in "at benchmark" and "above benchmark" and a decrease in "well below benchmark" for all students in grade K, 1, and 5.

On CKLA assessments over 55% of students scored 70% or better on Unit Assessments

#### Challenges

DIBELS composite score showed no decrease in "well below benchmark" for all students in grades 2, 3, and 4.

No grade level met the goal of 75% percent of students will score 70% or better on 2 or more CKLA Unit assessments per quarter at each grade level in the first or second quarter

#### **Mathematics**

| Data   | Comments/Notable Observations  |
|--|--|
| iReady diagnostic 15% of students were at or above grade level while 37% of students | This diagnostic occurs three times a year and shows student progress |
| were 2 or more grade levels below at MOY   | aligned to mathematical standards                                    |
| Ready Math Unit assessments and comprehension checks K - 80% 1 - 79% 2 - 76% 3 -     | These are curriculum based summative assessments measuring student   |
| 21% 4 - 10% 5 - 33% Total - 49.8%  | achievement.   |

## **Mathematics Summary**

#### Strengths

iReady diagnostic data showed an increase in the all student group in "green" from 2% to 15% and a decrease in "red" students from 50% to 37%.

Over 50% of students scored 70% or better on Ready Math mid-unit and unit assessments through three quarters in grades K, 1, and 2

### Challenges

Less than 50% of students scored 70% or better on Ready Math mid-unit and unit assessments through three quarters in grades 3, 4, and 5
Students in yellow increased from 46% to 48%

## Science, Technology, and Engineering Education

| Data   | Comments/Notable Observations           |
|--|---|
| 22 PSSA science assessment - All student group - 38.3% proficient or advanced Economically disadvantaged student | Data from two year's prior PSSA science |
| group - 39.7% Black student group - 32.3%  | grade 4                                 |
| 22 DCCA seignes assessment. All student group. 27.1 profisiont or advanced                                       | Proficiency decreased from previous     |
| 23 PSSA science assessment - All student group - 27.1 proficient or advanced                                     | year                                    |

## Science, Technology, and Engineering Education Summary

#### Strengths

Asian student group showed an increase in performance from the previous year.

### Challenges

All student group did not meet statewide goal or interim target

22-23 data showed a decrease in proficiency from previous year

#### **Related Academics**

#### **Career Readiness**

| Data                  | Comments/Notable Observations  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Smart Futures 23/24 - | The program allows students to complete artifacts and tracks their progress. Counselor uses whole group, small group, and individual |
| 100%                  | interventions to complete requirements   |

### Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

True Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs Omit

#### **Arts and Humanities**

**True** Arts and Humanities Omit

## **Environment and Ecology**

**True** Environment and Ecology Omit

### **Family and Consumer Sciences**

**True** Family and Consumer Sciences Omit

## Health, Safety, and Physical Education

True Health, Safety, and Physical Education Omit

#### Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History)

True Social Studies (Civics and Government, Economics, Geography, History) Omit

### Summary

#### Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

#### 100% completion rate for 23-24 school year

Counselor closely monitors completion rate and works with teachers, administration, and central office to reach 100%

#### Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

Students are not on site, but are still attributed to our school for completion

# **Equity Considerations**

# **English Learners**

**False** This student group is not a focus in this plan.

| Data   | Comments/Notable Observations                                |
|--|--|
| DIBELS composite score showed a decrease in "well below benchmark" for EL  | K - BOY-100% - MOY-78% 1 - BOY-60% to MOY-45% 2 - BOY-69% to |
| students in grades K, 1, 2, and 5 from BOY to MOY.                         | MOY-62% 5 - BOY-94% to MOY 88%                               |
| DIBELS composite score showed an increase in "well below benchmark" for EL | 3 - BOY-84% to MOY-86% 4 - BOY-87% to MOY 88%                |
| students in grades 3 and 4 from BOY to MOY.                                | 3 - BUY-84% to MUY-80% 4 - BUY-87% to MUY 88%                |
|  |  |

## Students with Disabilities

**False** This student group is not a focus in this plan.

| Data  | Comments/Notable Observations                             |
|---|---|
| DIBELS composite score showed no change from BOY to MOY in grade 3, an increase in well | Grade 3 - BOY-60% to MOY 60% Grade 4 - BOY-70% to MOY-78% |
| below in grade 4, and a decrease in well below in grade 5.                              | Grade 5 - BOY-80% to MOY-78%                              |
| DIBELS composite score showed no change in grades 1 and 2                               | Grade 1 - BOY-50% to MOY-50% Grade 2 - BOY-67% to MOY-67% |
|   |   |

## Students Considered Economically Disadvantaged

**False** This student group is not a focus in this plan.

| Data   | Comments/Notable Observations                          |
|--|--|
| DIBELS composite score showed a decrease in "well below benchmark" for economically    | Grade 1 - BOY-57% to MOY-49% Grade 2 - BOY-66% to MOY- |
| disadvantaged students in grades 1, 2, and 5 from BOY to MOY.                          | 65% Grade 5 - BOY-69% to MOY 61%                       |
| DIBELS composite score showed an increase in "well below benchmark" for EL students in | Grade 3 - BOY-53% to MOY-60% Grade 4 - BOY-52% to MOY- |
| grades 3 and 4 from BOY to MOY.  | 54%  |
|  |  |

## Student Groups by Race/Ethnicity

**False** This student group is not a focus in this plan.

| Student Groups  | Comments/Notable Observations |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 2 or More Races |                               |

### Summary

#### Strengths

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 strengths which have had the most impact in improving your most pressing challenges.

EL Students in primary grades continue to show growth.

ED Students in primary grades continue to show growth.

Special education students in grades 1, 2, and 5 continue to show growth

#### Challenges

Review the comments and notable observations listed previously and record the 2-5 Challenges which if improved would have the most impact in achieving your Mission and Vision.

EL Students in intermediate grades are not keeping up with benchmark indicators

ED Students in intermediate grades are not keeping up with benchmark indicators

Special education students in grades 3 and 4 are not keeping up with benchmark indicators

# Conditions for Leadership, Teaching, and Learning

## Focus on Continuous improvement of Instruction

| Align curricular materials and lesson plans to the PA Standards   | Operational |
|---|-------------|
| Use systematic, collaborative planning processes to ensure instruction is coordinated, aligned, and evidence-based  | Exemplary   |
| Use a variety of assessments (including diagnostic, formative, and summative) to monitor student learning and adjust programs and instructional practices | Operational |
| Identify and address individual student learning needs  | Operational |
| Provide frequent, timely, and systematic feedback and support on instructional practices  | Operational |

## **Empower Leadership**

| Foster a culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, families, and community members  | Emerging    |
|---|-------------|
| Collectively shape the vision for continuous improvement of teaching and learning   | Operational |
| Build leadership capacity and empower staff in the development and successful implementation of initiatives that better serve students, staff, and the school | Operational |
| Organize programmatic, human, and fiscal capital resources aligned with the school improvement plan and needs of the school community                         | Operational |
| Continuously monitor implementation of the school improvement plan and adjust as needed   | Operational |

## **Provide Student-Centered Support Systems**

| Promote and sustain a positive school environment where all members feel welcomed, supported, and safe in school: socially, emotionally, intellectually and physically | Operational |
|--|-------------|
| Implement an evidence-based system of schoolwide positive behavior interventions and supports  | Operational |
| Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior   | Emerging    |
| Implement evidence-based strategies to engage families to support learning   | Emerging    |
| Partner with local businesses, community organizations, and other agencies to meet the needs of the school   | Operational |

## **Foster Quality Professional Learning**

| Identify professional learning needs through analysis of a variety of data                       | Operational |
|--|-------------|
| Use multiple professional learning designs to support the learning needs of staff                | Operational |
| Monitor and evaluate the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning | Operational |

## Summary

## Strengths

Which Essential Practices are currently Operational or Exemplary and could be leveraged in your efforts to improve upon your most pressing challenges?

Collectively shape the vision for continuous improvement of teaching and learning

Implement an evidence-based system of schoolwide positive behavior interventions and supports

Align curricular materials and lesson plans to the PA Standards

Use systematic, collaborative planning processes to ensure instruction is coordinated, aligned, and evidence-based

#### Challenges

Thinking about all the most pressing challenges identified in the previous sections, which of the Essential Practices that are currently Not Yet Evident or Emerging, if improved, would greatly impact your progress in achieving your mission, vision and Future Ready PA Index interim targets in State Assessment Measures, On-Track Measures, or College and Career Measures?

Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior

Provide frequent, timely, and systematic feedback and support on instructional practices

Foster a culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, families, and community members

## Summary of Strengths and Challenges from the Needs Assessment

## Strengths

Examine the Summary of Strengths. Identify the strengths that are most positively contributing to achievement of your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified strength(s).

| Strongth   | Check for Consideration in |
|--|----------------------------|
| Strength   | Plan                       |
| Met growth expectations (PVAAS) in math - Meets or exceeds Interim Target and increased performance in math 83.0   | False                      |
| College and Career Readiness - Meets or exceeds Statewide Goal in all reportable student groups - 100%   | False                      |
| Meeting Annual Academic Growth Expectations (PVAAS) ELA and math - English Learner students met or exceeded the interim goal                                       | False                      |
| DIBELS composite scores showed an increase in "at benchmark" and "above benchmark" and a decrease in "well below benchmark" for all students in grade K, 1, and 5. | False                      |
| Asian student group showed an increase in performance from the previous year.  | False                      |
| Counselor closely monitors completion rate and works with teachers, administration, and central office to reach 100%   | False                      |
| iReady diagnostic data showed an increase in the all student group in "green" from 2% to 15% and a decrease in "red" students from 50% to 37%.                     | False                      |
| Over 50% of students scored 70% or better on Ready Math mid-unit and unit assessments through three quarters in grades K, 1, and 2                                 | False                      |
| Implement an evidence-based system of schoolwide positive behavior interventions and supports  | False                      |
| Align curricular materials and lesson plans to the PA Standards  | True                       |
| 100% completion rate for 23-24 school year   | False                      |
| Collectively shape the vision for continuous improvement of teaching and learning  | True                       |
| On CKLA assessments over 55% of students scored 70% or better on Unit Assessments  | False                      |
| EL Students in primary grades continue to show growth.   | False                      |
| ED Students in primary grades continue to show growth.   | False                      |
| Use systematic, collaborative planning processes to ensure instruction is coordinated, aligned, and evidence-based   | True                       |
| Special education students in grades 1, 2, and 5 continue to show growth   | False                      |

## Challenges

Examine the Summary of Challenges. Identify the challenges which are most pressing at this time for your School and if improved would have the most pronounced impact in achieving your mission and vision. Check the box to the right of these identified challenge(s).

| Strength   | Check for Consideration in |
|--|----------------------------|
|  | Plan                       |
| Regular Attendance - Decrease from last year - 63.9% | False                      |

| Proficient or Advanced on PA State Assessments ELA - 13.4% proficient   | False |
|---|-------|
| Proficient or Advanced on PA State Assessments Math - 9% proficient   | False |
| Less than 50% of students scored 70% or better on Ready Math mid-unit and unit assessments through three quarters in grades 3, 4, and 5   | False |
| DIBELS composite score showed no decrease in "well below benchmark" for all students in grades 2, 3, and 4.   | False |
|   | False |
| Provide frequent, timely, and systematic feedback and support on instructional practices  | True  |
| Foster a culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, families, and community members  | True  |
|   | False |
|   | False |
| All student group did not meet statewide goal or interim target   | False |
| No grade level met the goal of 75% percent of students will score 70% or better on 2 or more CKLA Unit assessments per quarter at each grade level in the first or second quarter | False |
| Students in yellow increased from 46% to 48%  | False |
| 22-23 data showed a decrease in proficiency from previous year  | False |
| Students are not on site, but are still attributed to our school for completion   | False |
| EL Students in intermediate grades are not keeping up with benchmark indicators   | False |
| ED Students in intermediate grades are not keeping up with benchmark indicators   | False |
| Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior  | True  |
| Special education students in grades 3 and 4 are not keeping up with benchmark indicators   | False |

### Most Notable Observations/Patterns

In the space provided, record any of the comments and notable observations made as your team worked through the needs assessment that stand out as important to the challenge(s) you checked for consideration in your comprehensive plan.

Additional work needed to provide equitable educational opportunities and discipline procedures. School is gaining momentum with use of data, strategic planning, and creating a collaborative and empowering environment for teachers and students.

# Analyzing (Strengths and Challenges)

# **Analyzing Challenges**

| Analyzing Challenges   | Discussion Points  | Check for<br>Priority |
|--|--|-----------------------|
| Provide frequent, timely, and systematic feedback and support on instructional practices                       | Create a system that ensures teachers are receiving frequent, timely, and systematic feedback from administration.           | False                 |
| Foster a culture of high expectations for success for all students, educators, families, and community members | Communicate what the specifics of "high expectations" are to all students, educators, families, and community members.       | True                  |
| Implement a multi-tiered system of supports for academics and behavior   | Resources including space and staffing make it challenging to provide all the needed Tier 1, 2, and 3 supports for students. | True                  |

# **Analyzing Strengths**

| Analyzing Strengths  | Discussion Points   |
|--|---|
| Collectively shape the vision for continuous improvement of teaching and learning                                  | Consistent vision (SOAR) drives all of the work done at Diehl. All initiatives, strategies, goals, and actions reflect Students first, Owning our actions, Always positive, Reaching higher. ILT and faculty regularly revisit vision and draw clear connections from it to our work of continuous improvement. |
| Align curricular materials and lesson plans to the PA Standards  | Align curriculum through supported work from CORE   |
| Use systematic, collaborative planning processes to ensure instruction is coordinated, aligned, and evidence-based | Align curriculum through supported work from CORE in PLT including teacher discussion and planning.   |

# **Priority Challenges**

| Analyzing Priority<br>Challenges | Priority Statements   |
|----------------------------------|---|
|                                  | If administration communicates what the specifics of "high expectations" are to all students, educators, families, and community        |
|                                  | members, then stakeholders will be vested in supporting high expectations, and student achievement will increase.                       |
|                                  | If administration supports teachers to implement all components of the curriculum then opportunities are provided for all students to   |
|                                  | participate in the major work of the lesson and demonstrate mastery of the grade-level standards and student achievement will increase. |

## **Goal Setting**

composite score.

Priority: If administration supports teachers to implement all components of the curriculum then opportunities are provided for all students to participate in the major work of the lesson and demonstrate mastery of the grade-level standards and student achievement will increase.

| Outcome Category                       |  |                       |  |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| Essential Practices 3: Provide Student | -Centered Support Systems                  |                       |  |
| Measurable Goal Statement (Smart       | Goal)                                      |                       |  |
| Reduce the number of students in gra   | ades kindergarten through fifth scoring "v | vell below benchmark" | on DIBELS composite score from 57% (based on 23-24 MOY |
| data) to 45%.                          |  |                       |  |
| Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Char      | acter Max)                                 |                       |  |
| MTSS Plan                              |  |                       |  |
| Target 1st Quarter                     | Target 2nd Quarter                         | Target 3rd Quarter    | Target 4th Quarter                                     |
| 57% of students will score "well       | 50% of students will score "well           | No Data will be       | Reduce the number of students scoring "well below      |
| below benchmark" on DIBELS BOY         | below benchmark" on DIBELS MOY             | available for         | benchmark" on DIBELS composite score from 53% (based   |

quarter 3

on 21-22, 22-23 data) to 45%.

Priority: If administration communicates what the specifics of "high expectations" are to all students, educators, families, and community members, then stakeholders will be vested in supporting high expectations, and student achievement will increase.

composite score.

| Outcome Category                         |  |   |                                      |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Essential Practices 1: Focus on Continuo | ous Improvement of Instruction             |   |                                      |
| Measurable Goal Statement (Smart Go      | al)  |   |                                      |
| 50% percent of students in grades kinder | ergarten through fifth will score 65% or b | etter on CKLA Unit assessments per quar | ter at each grade level.             |
| Measurable Goal Nickname (35 Charac      | ter Max)                                   |   |                                      |
| High Expectations                        |  |   |                                      |
| Target 1st Quarter                       | Target 2nd Quarter                         | Target 3rd Quarter                      | Target 4th Quarter                   |
| 35% percent of students will score       | 40% percent of students will score         | 45% percent of students will score      | 50% percent of students will score   |
| 65% or better on 2 or more CKLA Unit     | 65% or better on 2 or more CKLA Unit       | 65% or better on 2 or more CKLA Unit    | 65% or better on 2 or more CKLA Unit |
| assessments per quarter at each          | assessments per quarter at each            | assessments per quarter at each         | assessments per quarter at each      |
| grade level.                             | grade level                                | grade level                             | grade level.                         |

## **Action Plan**

## Measurable Goals

| MTSS Plan   | High Expectations    |
|-------------|----------------------|
| 11110011411 | 111611 Expeditations |

## Action Plan For: Multi-Tiered Support Systems

### Measurable Goals:

• Reduce the number of students in grades kindergarten through fifth scoring "well below benchmark" on DIBELS composite score from 57% (based on 23-24 MOY data) to 45%.

| Action Step                                    |   | Anticipated Sta       | rt/Completion Date |
|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Complete DIBELS BOY assessment                 |   | 2024-09-09 2024-09-20 |                    |
| Lead Person/Position                           | Material/Resources/Supports Needed                                | PD Step?              |                    |
| Timothy Sabol, School-Wide Support Staff       | DIBELS assessment, staff to implement assessment                  | No                    |                    |
| Action Step                                    |   | Anticipated Sta       | rt/Completion Date |
| Review data from DIBELS BOY assessment         |   | 2024-09-23            | 2024-10-04         |
| Lead Person/Position                           | Material/Resources/Supports Needed                                | PD Step?              |                    |
| Timothy Sabol/Mary Kearney                     | DIBELS BOY data, Professional Learning Time, teachers             | No                    |                    |
| Action Step                                    |   | Anticipated Sta       | rt/Completion Date |
| Create intervention schedule for each grade I  | evel  | 2024-08-19            | 2024-09-20         |
| Lead Person/Position                           | Material/Resources/Supports Needed                                | PD Step?              |                    |
| Timothy Sabol/Principal, Mary Kearney/AP       | related arts and lunch schedule                                   | No                    |                    |
| Action Step                                    |   | Anticipated Sta       | rt/Completion Date |
| Identify students for intervention and progres | ss monitoring   | 2024-09-30            | 2024-10-11         |
| Lead Person/Position                           | Material/Resources/Supports Needed                                | PD Step?              |                    |
| Timothy Sabol/Principal, Mary Kearney/AP       | SWS teachers, classroom teachers, PLT time, grade level schedules | No                    |                    |
| Action Step                                    |   | Anticipated Sta       | rt/Completion Date |
| Implement interventions and progress monitor   | or students   | 2024-10-14            | 2025-01-17         |
| Lead Person/Position                           | Material/Resources/Supports Needed                                | PD Step?              |                    |
| Classroom teachers                             | DIBELS and iReady interventions                                   | No                    |                    |
| Action Step                                    |   | Anticipated Sta       | rt/Completion Date |
| Monitor and adjust intervention groups         |   | 2025-01-20            | 2025-01-31         |
| Lead Person/Position                           | Material/Resources/Supports Needed                                | PD Step?              |                    |
| Timothy Sabol/Principal, Mary Kearney/AP       | progress monitoring data, PLT time, SWS teachers                  | No                    |                    |
| Action Step                                    |   | Anticipated Sta       | rt/Completion Date |
| Continue cycle of continuous improvement       |   | 2025-01-24            | 2025-06-06         |

| Lead Person/Position                     | Material/Resources/Supports Needed                                | PD Step? |  |
|--|---|----------|--|
| Timothy Sabol/Principal, Mary Kearney/AP | SWS teachers, classroom teachers, PLT time, grade level schedules | No       |  |

| Anticipated Output   | Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method) |
|--|---|
| Reduce the number of students scoring "well below benchmark" or "well below grade level" on DIBELS and | Timothy Sabol, Mary Kearney, monthly,                 |
| iReady diagnostic to 45%   | DIBELS/iReady   |

## Action Plan For: Science of Reading

## Measurable Goals:

• 50% percent of students in grades kindergarten through fifth will score 65% or better on CKLA Unit assessments per quarter at each grade level.

| Action Step                    |   | Anticipated Sta | art/Completion |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|
| Develop a Calander for the     | e six sessions of the science of reading  | 2024-07-29      | 2024-08-19     |
| Lead Person/Position           | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?        |                |
| Timothy Sabol                  | Calendar, schedule of course requirements   | No              |                |
| Action Step                    |   | Anticipated Sta | art/Completion |
| Teachers will participate in   | n asynchronous learning   | 2024-09-23      | 2025-01-20     |
| Lead Person/Position           | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?        |                |
| Timothy Sabol, Mary<br>Kearney | Pattan PA Science of Reading Knowledge course - asynchronous modules, Schoology       | Yes             |                |
| Action Step                    |   | Anticipated Sta | art/Completion |
| Teachers will participate in   | n administration lead PLT and Act 80 on Pattan Science of Reading course              | 2024-09-23      | 2025-01-20     |
| Lead Person/Position           | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?        |                |
| Timothy Sabol, Mary<br>Kearney | Pattan PA Science of Reading Knowledge course - asynchronous modules, Schoology       | Yes             |                |
| Action Step                    | •   | Anticipated Sta | art/Completion |
| Continue cycle of continuo     | ous improvement apply learning to educational practices                               | 2025-01-20      | 2025-06-06     |
| Lead Person/Position           | Material/Resources/Supports Needed  | PD Step?        |                |
| Timothy Sabol, Mary            | Pattan PA Science of Reading Knowledge course - asynchronous modules, Schoology, CKLA | Yes             |                |

| Kearney | curriculum |  |  |
|---------|------------|--|--|
|---------|------------|--|--|

| Anticipated Output   | Monitoring/Evaluation (People, Frequency, and Method) |
|--|---|
| 50% percent of students in grades kindergarten through fifth will score 65% or better on CKLA Unit | Timothy Sabol/Mary Kearney, quarterly, CKLA end of    |
| assessments per quarter at each grade level.   | Unit Assessments                                      |

# **Expenditure Tables**

# School Improvement Set Aside Grant

**True** School does not receive School Improvement Set Aside Grant.

# Schoolwide Title 1 Funding Allocation

False School does not receive Schoolwide Title 1 funding.

| eGgrant Budget Category (Schoolwide Funding) | Action Plan(s)  | Expenditure Description  | Amount    |
|--|---|--|-----------|
| Instruction                                  | <ul><li>Multi-Tiered Support<br/>Systems</li><li>Science of Reading</li></ul> | Salary and benefits for schoolwide instructional staff to meet the goals of the School Improvement Plan. | 699438.10 |
|  |   |  |           |
|  |   |  |           |
| Total Expenditures                           | •   | •  | •         |

# **Professional Development**

# Professional Development Action Steps

| <b>Evidence-based Strategy</b> | Action Steps  |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Science of Reading             | Teachers will participate in asynchronous learning  |
| Science of Reading             | Teachers will participate in administration lead PLT and Act 80 on Pattan Science of Reading course |
| Science of Reading             | Continue cycle of continuous improvement apply learning to educational practices                    |

# Science of Reading

| Action Step  |                   |                        |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|
| Teachers will participate in asynchronous learning |                   |                        |
| Audience   |                   |                        |
| All teachers                                       |                   |                        |
| Topics to be Included                              |                   |                        |
| Science of Reading asynchronous modules            |                   |                        |
| Evidence of Learning                               |                   |                        |
| PLT discussion                                     |                   |                        |
| Lead Person/Position                               | Anticipated Start | Anticipated Completion |
| Timothy Sabol/Mary Kearney                         | 2024-09-23        | 2025-01-20             |

# **Learning Format**

| Type of Activities   | Frequency |  |
|--|-----------|--|
| Independent study  | Monthly   |  |
| Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan          |           |  |
|  |           |  |
| This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings |           |  |
| Language and Literacy Acquisition for All Students           |           |  |

## Science of Reading

| Science of Reading  |
|---|
| Action Step   |
| Teachers will participate in administration lead PLT and Act 80 on Pattan Science of Reading course |
| Audience  |
| All teachers  |
| Topics to be Included   |
| Science of Reading professional learning community discussion                                       |
| Evidence of Learning  |

| Professional learning community discussions |                   |                        |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|
| Lead Person/Position                        | Anticipated Start | Anticipated Completion |
| Timothy Sabol/Mary Kearney                  | 2024-09-23        | 2025-01-20             |

## **Learning Format**

| Type of Activities   | Frequency |
|--|-----------|
| Professional Learning Community (PLC)                        | Monthly   |
| Observation and Practice Framework Met in this Plan          |           |
|  |           |
| This Step Meets the Requirements of State Required Trainings |           |
| Language and Literacy Acquisition for All Students           |           |

# Approvals & Signatures

| Uploaded Files |  |
|----------------|--|
|                |  |

| Chief School Administrator               | Date       |
|--|------------|
| Brian Polito                             | 2024-08-16 |
| Building Principal Signature             | Date       |
| Timothy Sabol                            | 2024-08-16 |
| School Improvement Facilitator Signature | Date       |
|  |            |